

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
WESTERN DIVISION

---

<p>GERALD WAYNE LEBEAU,  Petitioner,  vs.  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Respondent.</p>	<p>5:19-CV-05011-KES  ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY</p>
---	--

---

Petitioner, Gerald Wayne LeBeau, filed a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Docket 1. The United States move to dismiss the motion for failure to state a claim and for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Docket 9. This court granted respondent's motion and dismissed LeBeau's motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence. Docket 33.

When a district court denies a petitioner's § 2255 motion, the petitioner must first obtain a certificate of appealability before an appeal of that denial may be entertained. *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 335-36 (2003). This certificate may be issued "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). A "substantial showing" is one that proves "reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Stated differently, "[a] substantial showing is a showing that issues are debatable among reasonable jurists, a court could

resolve the issues differently, or the issues deserve further proceedings.” *Cox v. Norris*, 133 F.3d 565, 569 (8th Cir. 1997). LeBeau has not made a substantial showing that his claims are debatable among reasonable jurists, that another court could resolve the issues raised in his claims differently, or that a question raised by his claims deserves additional proceedings. Thus, a certificate of appealability is not issued.

Thus, it is ORDERED:

1. That a certificate of appealability is denied.

DATED April 13, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ *Karen E. Schreier*

KAREN E. SCHREIER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE